

United States Patent and Trademark Office



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO
10/720,291	11/24/2003	Christopher John Adams	G0720.70000US00	4122
7590 06/06/2005		EXAMINER		
William R. McClellan			PONOMARENKO, NICHOLAS	
Wolf, Greenfield & Sacks, P.C. 600 Atlantic Avenue			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
Boston, MA 02210			2834	
			DATE MAILED: 06/06/200	5

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)			
	10/720,291	ADAMS, CHRISTOPHER JOHN			
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit			
	Nicholas Ponomarenko	2834			
The MAILING DATE of this commun Period for Reply	nication appears on the cover sheet with	the correspondence address			
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD F THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUN - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this com - If the period for reply specified above, the maximum s - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply Any reply received by the Office later than three months earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	IICATION. s of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a repimunication. 30) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (tatutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHy will, by statute, cause the application to become ABAN	ly be timely filed 30) days will be considered timely. IS from the mailing date of this communication. NDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).			
Status					
1) Responsive to communication(s) file	ed on <u>24 November</u> 2003.	•			
	2b)⊠ This action is non-final.	·			
	for allowance except for formal matter ice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D.	· ·			
Disposition of Claims					
4) ⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-15</u> is/are pending in the 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/a 5) □ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) ⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-15</u> is/are rejected. 7) □ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) ⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-15</u> are subject to restrict	are withdrawn from consideration.				
Application Papers		•			
9) The specification is objected to by the	ne Examiner.				
.10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) □ accepted or b) □ objected to by the Examiner.					
Applicant may not request that any obje	ection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance	e. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).			
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including 11) The oath or declaration is objected t	g the correction is required if the drawing(s)				
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119		5.1100 / CO.1011 OT 10111 1 1 O 102.			
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority 2. Certified copies of the priority 3. Copies of the certified copies	documents have been received. documents have been received in App of the priority documents have been re onal Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	olication No eceived in this National Stage			
Attachment(s)					
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) Interview Sur				
 Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (F Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or Paper No(s)/Mail Date 		Mail Date rmal Patent Application (PTO-152) .			

Application/Control Number: 10/720,291 Page 2

Art Unit: 2834

DETAILED ACTION

Election/Restriction

- 1. Restriction to one of the following inventions is required under 35 U.S.C. 121:
 - I. Claims 1-8, drawn to a generator shut down control.
 - II. Claim 9, drawn to a detection of a generator voltage sensor failure.
 - III. Claims 10-15, drawn to generator control system failure detection.
- 2. The inventions are distinct, each from the other because of the following reasons: Inventions of Group I through III are unrelated. Inventions are unrelated if it can be shown that they are not disclosed as capable of use together, or they have different modes of operation, or they have different functions, or they have different effects.

 (MPEP § 806.04, MPEP § 808.01). In the instant case the different inventions have different functions and effects.
- 3. Because these inventions are distinct for the reasons given above and have acquired a separate status in the art because of their recognized divergent subject matter, restriction for examination purposes as indicated is proper.
- 4. Applicant in replying to this Office action must make an election with or without traverse.

Application/Control Number: 10/720,291 Page 3

Art Unit: 2834

Drawings

5. The drawings are objected to under 37 CFR 1.83(a). The drawings must show every feature of the invention specified in the claims. Therefore, the "overload protection", "plurality of voltage sensors", "start-up phase" operation of the controller, must be shown or the feature(s) canceled from the claim(s). No new matter should be entered.

Corrected drawing sheets in compliance with 37 CFR 1.121(d) are required in reply to the Office action to avoid abandonment of the application. Any amended replacement drawing sheet should include all of the figures appearing on the immediate prior version of the sheet, even if only one figure is being amended. The figure or figure number of an amended drawing should not be labeled as "amended." If a drawing figure is to be canceled, the appropriate figure must be removed from the replacement sheet, and where necessary, the remaining figures must be renumbered and appropriate changes made to the brief description of the several views of the drawings for consistency. Additional replacement sheets may be necessary to show the renumbering of the remaining figures. Each drawing sheet submitted after the filing date of an application must be labeled in the top margin as either "Replacement Sheet" or "New Sheet" pursuant to 37 CFR 1.121(d). If the changes are not accepted by the examiner, the applicant will be notified and informed of any required corrective action in the next Office action. The objection to the drawings will not be held in abeyance.

Application/Control Number: 10/720,291

Art Unit: 2834

Specification

6. The following guidelines illustrate the preferred layout for the specification of a utility application. These guidelines are suggested for the applicant's use.

Arrangement of the Specification

Page 4

As provided in 37 CFR 1.77(b), the specification of a utility application should include the following sections in order. Each of the lettered items should appear in upper case, without underlining or bold type, as a section heading. If no text follows the section heading, the phrase "Not Applicable" should follow the section heading:

- (a) TITLE OF THE INVENTION.
- (b) CROSS-REFERENCE TO RELATED APPLICATIONS.
- (c) STATEMENT REGARDING FEDERALLY SPONSORED RESEARCH OR DEVELOPMENT.
- (d) THE NAMES OF THE PARTIES TO A JOINT RESEARCH AGREEMENT
- (e) INCORPORATION-BY-REFERENCE OF MATERIAL SUBMITTED ON A COMPACT DISC (See 37 CFR 1.52(e)(5) and MPEP 608.05. Computer program listings (37 CFR 1.96(c)), "Sequence Listings" (37 CFR 1.821(c)), and tables having more than 50 pages of text are permitted to be submitted on compact discs.) or

REFERENCE TO A "MICROFICHE APPENDIX" (See MPEP § 608.05(a). "Microfiche Appendices" were accepted by the Office until March 1, 2001.)

- (f) BACKGROUND OF THE INVENTION.
 - (1) Field of the Invention.
 - (2) Description of Related Art including information disclosed under 37 CFR 1.97 and 1.98.
- (g) BRIEF SUMMARY OF THE INVENTION.
- (h) BRIEF DESCRIPTION OF THE SEVERAL VIEWS OF THE DRAWING(S).
- (i) DETAILED DESCRIPTION OF THE INVENTION.
- (i) CLAIM OR CLAIMS (commencing on a separate sheet).
- (k) ABSTRACT OF THE DISCLOSURE (commencing on a separate sheet).
- (I) SEQUENCE LISTING (See MPEP § 2424 and 37 CFR 1.821-1.825. A "Sequence Listing" is required on paper if the application discloses a nucleotide or amino acid sequence as defined in 37 CFR 1.821(a) and if the required "Sequence Listing" is not submitted as an electronic document on compact disc).

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

7. The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.

8. Claims 1-15 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.

Claims 1, 9 and 10 are generally narrative and indefinite, failing to conform with current U.S. practice. They appear to be a literal translation into English from a foreign document and are replete with grammatical and idiomatic errors.

Claim 2 recites the limitation "invoke overload protection measures" in line 2.

There is insufficient antecedent basis for this limitation in the claim.

Claim 3 recites the limitation "controller is modified" in line 2. There is insufficient antecedent basis for this limitation in the claim.

The claims 5 and 6 are generally narrative and indefinite, failing to conform with current U.S. practice, because the language of the claim 5 and 6 do not provide desired clarity and precision, since the scope of the invention sought to be patented cannot be determined from the language of the claim with a reasonable degree of certainty. *In re Wiggins, 488 F.2d 538, 179 USPQ 421 (CCPA 1973)*.

Claim 7 is claiming same features as in claim 1, which is redundant and confusing.

Claim 8 is incomprehensible.

In claim 13 the phrase "inhibited or modified" renders the claim indefinite because of the use of alternative language. Since "inhibited" and "modified" are not alternative words for the same concept, their use in the claim is indefinite.

In claim 14 last two lines are grammatically incorrect.

Claim 15 recites the limitation "further time period" in line 2. There is insufficient antecedent basis for this limitation in the claim.

Claims 11 and 12 are indefinite because they depend on the rejected claim and do not correct the noted problem.

Conclusion

- 9. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant(s) disclosure.
- 10. When the claims are amended, applicant(s) should state in detail where in the original disclosure or in the drawings the amended features find support. **No new matter may be introduced**.
- 11. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Nicholas Ponomarenko whose telephone number is (571) 272- 2033, Fax: (571) 273-2033, or to his SPE Darren Schuberg (571) 272-2044.
- 12. Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application should be directed to the Technology Center 2800 Customer Service Phone: (571) 272-2815

np

June 1, 2005

Mifely

Nicholas Ponomarenko Primary Examiner Technology Center 2800